



# BRAMSHOTT & LIPHOOK PARISH COUNCIL

www.bramshottandliphook-pc.gov.uk

Mr P J STANLEY  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
Tel: 01428 722988  
Fax: 01428 727335  
e-mail : council@bramshottandliphook-pc.gov.uk

THE PARISH OFFICE  
HASKELL CENTRE  
MIDHURST ROAD  
LIPHOOK  
HAMPSHIRE GU30 7TN

## A MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TOOK PLACE AT 7.30PM IN THE HASKELL CENTRE, MIDHURST ROAD, LIPHOOK ON MONDAY 13 JUNE 2016.

### PRESENT WERE:

Cllr Mrs B Easton (Chairman), Cllr Mrs T Jourdan, Cllr Mrs J Kirby (for part of the meeting), Cllr T Maroney, Cllr Ms J Poole & Cllr E Trotter. Mrs G Spencer (Administration Officer) & one member of the press also attended, together with Chris Webb & Jim Avenell (National Trust Wardens) for part of the meeting.

62/16

### CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman asked for mobile phones to be switched to silent & pointed out the fire exits.

63/16

### APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Cllr M Croucher, Cllr D Jerrard & Mr S Thomas (Parish Tree Warden).

64/16

### DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cllr Mrs Kirby & Cllr Ms Poole were acquainted with the applicant for 50 London Rd, Liphook (Minute 69/16.11).

65/16

### MINUTES OF MEETING HELD ON 9 MAY 2016

These were confirmed & signed as being a true record of the meeting.

66/16

### MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

Cllr Mrs Kirby reported that the change of use application for Carla, 1 The Square, Liphook (Minute 53/16.7) was not proceeded with as EHDC had incorrectly advised the applicant that a change of use application was required, when this was not the case as they were not preparing food on the premises; the new coffee shop venture was now open to the public.

67/16

### PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SESSION

Public Questions (items not on the agenda). None.  
Public Participation. None.

**PROPOSAL TO REINTRODUCE GRAZING TO LUDSHOTT COMMON**

Chris Webb (National Trust Head Warden in East Hampshire) advised that the National Trust were responsible for three commons in the East Hampshire area; namely Selborne, Passfield & Ludshott Common. They were currently undertaking consultations on the future long-term management of Ludshott Common & were proposing to re-introduce grazing in order to protect the landscape & maintain the heathland habitat to protect a range of important & rare plant, animal & bird species.

Ludshott Common was a registered common, SSSI, SPA & was comprised of heathland & wood pasture. It had been a common since the 13<sup>th</sup> century, when commoners first exercised commoner's rights, & grazing ended in the 1930's.

The amount of heathland in East Hampshire had declined by over 75% in the past two centuries, from 11,500 acres in c.1800 to around 2,000 acres now. Heathland was important to sustain a range of birds, animals & plants, as well as for recreation.

The last big fire on Ludshott Common was in 1980 & a great deal of work had been conducted since to keep the heathland open & clear of scrub & gorse, including "mechanical grazing", which had not been that successful.

Grazing still occurred on Selborne & other commons; the New Forest being the best model of what the National Trust would like to achieve. To reintroducing grazing, a stock-proof boundary fence would be required & the National Trust would need to apply for permission from the Secretary of State, having first conducted a common-purpose consultation as set out by DEFRA.

Q: Would it affect deer?

A: Mainly roe deer which can easily jump a stock fence, so it would not inhibit them.

Q: Bramshott Common is fenced?

A: Parts were already fenced prior to the new 2006 Commons Act; it is MOD land, not National Trust.

Q: Would it affect horse-riders?

A: The British Horse Riding Society supported the idea provided horse-friendly gates were used.

Q: Whose cattle would graze there?

A: One commoner had expressed interest; however it would take a considerable time to obtain permission. The stocking density would be similar to that of the New Forest where there is heavy recreational use. Cattle would be selected to ensure that they were quiet/placid & compatible with people/dogs.

Cllr Mrs Kirby was fully supportive & pointed out that it was important as Liphook was surrounded by heathland. Cllr Mrs Easton proposed sending a letter of support & it was agreed to consider this at the next meeting.





## 69/16.7

**54599/005**                      **Single-storey extension & external refuse area - Holdenbury**      **Helical (Liphook) Ltd**  
**Cllr Mrs T Jourdan**      **House, 18 King George's Drive, Liphook**

Cllr Mrs Jourdan advised that this would be a small extension to the main building to house a bin area & staff toilets. She had spoken to nearby residents, none of whom objected.

Cllr Maroney added that it had been discussed with residents a couple of years ago & none had objected. He considered that it would tidy the area up.

**Decision:**                      No objections.

## 69/16.8

**54891/001**                      **Alterations to fenestration & rear entrance, replacement**                      **Mr & Mrs**  
**Cllr T Maroney**              **porches & conservatory - Cerysbrooke House, Seven**                      **Wickham**  
   **Thorns Lane, Bramshott Chase**

Cllr Maroney reported that the property was originally 1A Chase Villas, which together with 1 Chase Villas, was split into flats. There was permission for a large extension & the property was made into a separate house. The applicant now wanted to restore the rear & front entrances to make entrances to the house. They had obtained pre-planning advice & had been told that an application would need to be made as all permitted development rights were removed when the extension was built. EHDC agreed that the proposal would improve the appearance of the house; the application was supported by photographs showing the poor condition of the existing porches & conservatory. Cllr Maroney considered that the plans were very poor & did not show clearly what the extensions would look like in terms of size, scale & appearance, & recommended objecting on these grounds.

**Decision:**                      Object as plans inadequate in that they lack sufficient detail to assess the application.

## 69/16.9

**55200/006**                      **Retention of raised patio area to rear - Southlands, Limes**                      **Mr Prigrove**  
**Cllr Mrs J Kirby**              **Close, Bramshott**

Cllr Mrs Kirby reported that this was a retrospective application following a complaint from a neighbour that they were overlooked. The applicant had obtained pre-planning advice & was proposing new planting to the boundary & a privacy screen.

**Decision:**                      No objections.

## 69/16.10

**56671/001**                      **Change of use of attached office building to living**                      **Mrs Rudd**  
**Cllr E Trotter**                      **accommodation - 28A Haslemere Rd, Liphook**

Cllr Trotter stated that this was a derelict building with a small single-storey extension, which was currently designated as office space, & the applicant wanted to convert it into a wet room. There was no reason to object as the extension was too small to use as an office.

**Decision:**                      No objections.

69/16.11

**56681**                      **Single-storey extension to rear & enlargement of dormer**                      **Mr & Mrs**  
**Cllr Ms J Poole**           **window to front, following demolition of conservatory**                      **Millard**  
                                 **- 50 London Rd, Liphook**

Cllr Ms Poole reported that this was a detached house in a fairly secluded plot, set back from the road along a passageway. The applicant was changing the layout of the house to create an extra bedroom. There was no reason to object as it would not affect any neighbours.

**Decision:**                      No objections.

**70/16                      ADDITIONAL APPLICATIONS**

There were none.

**71/16                      RESULTS OF PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS (see Appendix 1).**

**72/16                      TREE WORK APPLICATIONS**

The Tree Warden's report was noted & agreed (see Appendix 2).

**73/16                      LAWFUL DEVELOPMENT CERTIFICATE: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**  
**9 Erles Rd, Liphook (56725)**

It was noted that an application had been made to ascertain whether planning permission was required for a single-storey extension to the rear, a side extension & conversion of the garage to habitable accommodation.

**74/16                      PLANNING APPEAL**

**Land at Lowsley Farm, Lark Rise, Liphook (34310/022)**

It was noted that Easterton had withdrawn the appeal (re: refusal of outline planning permission for 155 dwellings & 175 dwellings (330 in total) with associated access, car parking & public open space, following demolition of five dwellings).

**CONCLUSIONS**

This concluded the business of the meeting & the meeting closed at 9.20pm.  
Confirmed at the meeting held on 18 July 2016.

Signed . . . . .  
Presiding Chairman