



BRAMSHOTT & LIPHOOK PARISH COUNCIL

www.bramshottandliphook-pc.gov.uk

Mr A S R GROVES MBE
CLERK

Tel: 01428 722988
Fax: 01428 727335
e-mail : council@bramshottandliphook-pc.gov.uk

THE PARISH OFFICE
HASKELL CENTRE
MIDHURST ROAD
LIPHOOK
HAMPSHIRE GU30 7TN

CONTINUATION OF AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TOOK PLACE AT 8PM IN THE PEAK CENTRE, MIDHURST ROAD, LIPHOOK ON MONDAY 24 SEPTEMBER 2012.

MINUTES

PRESENT WERE:

Cllr D Jerrard (Chairman), Cllr M Croucher, Cllr Mrs B Easton, Cllr R Evans, Cllr P Jordan, Cllr Ms J Poole, Cllr P Robinson & Cllr J Tough. Cllr Mrs E Hope, Cllr Mrs D Hoskins, Cllr Mrs A James, Mr P Stanley (Locum Clerk), one member of the press & around ten members of the public also attended.

105/12	NEIGHBOURING PARISH APPLICATION (CONT'D)	APPLICANT
SDNP/12/01373/FUL Cllr J Tough	New heathland golf course - land north of Allington Cottage, Langley Lane, Langley, Rogate, West Sussex	Old Thorns Golf & Country Club

The meeting was suspended for public questions. A number of members spoke in support and against the proposals. Cllr Jerrard read out a letter of objection received from Mr Rowe (Hollydown House, Griggs Green), which had been copied to the Parish Council. Mr Rowe felt that the area was well served by golf courses and that the new course was in open heathland, which was used by walkers, riders, dog owners and also for some grazing.

Cllr Jerrard invited members of the Council who were not on the Planning Committee to express their views & Cllrs Mrs James, Hope & Hoskins did so.

The meeting was then reconvened to hear from members of the Planning Committee.

Cllr Tough felt there would be an adverse effect on the local wildlife.

Following discussion, it was agreed that the planning application be rejected due to loss of amenity space for dog owners, walkers, riders and other users from the local community and outside.

Proposed by Cllr Tough; Seconded Cllr Mrs Easton; Vote (5 in favour; 2 abstentions).

Decision:

Object as would result in loss of public amenity space for dog owners, walkers, riders & other users from both the local community & outside.

106/12 PLANNING APPEAL

Land north of Westering & west of Church Rd, Bramshott (26854/014)

Cllr Evans outlined the background to the appeal. The original application was rejected as it was considered that the existing barn was more than sufficient to service the paddock. There was no evidence of equestrian activity on the site. In addition, the minimum grazing standard was not being met for grazing horses, and there were some concerns about rave music being played at the site.

The meeting was adjourned for public comments.

One member of the public felt that there was no need for a second barn at the property and no evidence that it was used for horses.

Cllr Mrs James stated that when the first application was rejected, it was felt that there was definitely no need for additional stabling and that the Council should object strongly.

The meeting was reconvened.

Following consideration by the Committee, it was agreed that further comments should be made based on there being no evidence of equestrian use, noise from the property and the visual impact. It was unanimously agreed that Cllr Evans would provide a form of wording, to be circulated to the other Planning Committee members, for submission by 4 October 2012.

Decision: Further comments should be made based on there being no evidence of equestrian use, noise from the property and the visual impact. Cllr Evans to provide a form of wording, to be circulated to the other Planning Committee members, for submission to Planning Inspectorate by 4 October 2012.

104/12 PLANNING APPLICATIONS

APPLICANT

104/12.2

38157/002

Detached garage - 2 Primrose Cottages, Tunbridge Lane, Bramshott

Mr & Mrs Woods

Cllr M Croucher

Cllr Croucher outlined the application, which was for a replacement detached garage which would be a great deal larger than the existing one. The appearance would be more like that of a house than a garage. Following discussion, it was agreed that the Parish Council would not object, but would submit additional comments.

Cllr Croucher proposed that the Parish Council had no objections, but the garage should not be used other than as a garage and associated usage. Comments should also be made that there was some concern over the size of the building in a Conservation Area. The proposal was unanimously approved.

Decision: No objections provided garage used solely for parking private vehicles & for other ancillary uses. The Parish Council would, however, like to raise concerns about the size of the proposed building in a Conservation Area.

CONCLUSIONS

This concluded the business of the meeting & the meeting closed at 8.30pm.
Confirmed at the meeting held on 22 October 2012.

Signed
Presiding Chairman