



## East Hampshire District Local Plan: Joint Core Strategy Further Proposed Modifications

### Representation Form

Please e-mail this form to: [ldf@easthants.gov.uk](mailto:ldf@easthants.gov.uk)

<p><i>Alternatively send this form to:</i>  <b>Planning Policy Team</b>  <b>East Hampshire District Council</b>  <b>Penns Place</b>  <b>Petersfield</b>  <b>Hampshire GU31 4EX</b></p>	<p>For further information visit our website at:  <a href="http://www.easthants.gov.uk">www.easthants.gov.uk</a> or phone 01730 234219</p>
--	--

Responses must be received no later than **5.00pm Friday 20 September 2013.**  
 Late representations will **not** be accepted

This form consists of 3 main parts:

- Part A – Personal details
- Part B – Your representation. Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make
- Part C – An equalities form (this will be dealt with separately from Parts A and B)

#### Part A – Personal Details

Name	Cllr. Trevor John Maroney
Organisation <i>(if appropriate)</i>	<b>Bramshott &amp; Liphook Parish Council (BLPC)</b>
Address	The Parish Office Haskell Centre Midhurst Road Liphook Hampshire GU30 7TN
Phone	01428 722988
E-mail	council@bramshottandliphook-pc.gov.uk

**Data Protection:** Respondent details and representations will be forwarded to the Secretary of State for consideration when the Joint Core Strategy is submitted for examination on the basis of “soundness”. All documents will be held at East Hampshire District Council Offices and representations can be viewed by appointment or on-line. They will be handled in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

**Part B – Your Representation**

If you are seeking to make representations on the **way** in which the East Hampshire District Council and the South Downs National Park Authority have prepared the Further Proposed Modifications, your comments or objections should relate to a matter of **‘legal compliance.’**

To be **‘sound’** a core strategy should be JUSTIFIED, EFFECTIVE and consistent with NATIONAL POLICY.

“Justified” means that the document must be:

- founded on a robust and credible evidence base
- the most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives

“Effective” means that the document must be:

- deliverable
- flexible
- able to be monitored

“Consistent with National Policy” means that it is consistent with government guidance contained within the National Planning Policy Framework.

*Please tick as appropriate*

<b>Do you consider the Further Proposed Modifications to be legally compliant?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Do you consider the Further Proposed Modifications to be Sound?</b>		<b>No in regard to the Parish of Bramshott and Liphook</b>
<b>Do you wish to appear at the Examination hearing session</b>	<b>Yes</b>	

**If you have selected YES and you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Further Proposed Modifications, please set out your representation below being as precise as possible.**

Please make it clear which Further Proposed Modifications number you are making representations on. *(Please attach additional pages as required)*

<p><b>CP2 New Employment Provision</b></p> <p><b>CP8 Spatial Strategy</b></p> <p><b>CP30 &amp; IDP Infrastructure</b></p>
---

**If you have selected NO in relation to ‘soundness’, do you consider the Further Proposed Modifications to the Joint Core Strategy to be unsound because they are not.** *(Please tick as appropriate)*

<b>Justified</b>	<b>Effective</b>	<b>Consistent with national policy</b>
√	√	

Please give details of why you consider the Further Proposed Modifications to be unsound or not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible when setting out your representations below. Please make it clear which Further Proposed Modifications number or, alternatively, which page and paragraph or Policy number of the revised text coloured version of the Local Plan: Joint Core Strategy you are making representations on. *(Please attach additional pages as required)*

**CP2.** There is no land provision in the Plan for employment in Bramshott & Liphook as the original OSU site has been granted planning permission for housing.

**CP8.** There is no evidence in the SHMA of the likely impact the new Hindhead Tunnel will have on settlements bordering the A3 corridor south of the tunnel.

**CP30 & IDP.** There is no evidence that Liphook's current infrastructure particularly its sewage system and local road network (the only route north to south through the village is via the historic Square) can sustain an additional 800 houses.

**CP30 & IDP.** There is no provision in the Plan for land to accommodate urgently needed additional football and cricket pitches and a swimming pool.

**These statements are supported by the enclosed BLPC Comments paper V1.5, Paragraphs 47 to 52, which includes references to the various JCS papers.**

Please set out what Further Proposed Modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Joint Core Strategy legally compliant or sound, having regard to the reason you identified above where this relates to soundness. You need to say why this will make the Joint Core Strategy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

**The following Further Proposed Modifications are extracted from the recommendations contained in Paragraph 53 of the BLPC paper referred to above:**

The Parish Council could support the updated JCS provided the Plan included the following:

- a. A new supply of employment land to be identified and included in the Plan in place of the former OSU site, preferably on the settlement boundary and adjacent to the A3. **[CP2]**
- b. A study into Liphook's storm and sewage drainage systems to establish if the systems can cope with an additional 800 houses. This study is urgent and should be completed as soon as possible and in any event within 12 months. **[CP30 & IDP]**
- c. A study into ways of easing traffic congestion in the Square between Headley and Haslemere Roads in light of the likely additional 1,080 cars those 800 new households will bring to the village. It should also consider the current impact on Bramshott of Borden area motorists using the narrow, historic, sunken lanes as a 'rat run' during rush hour in their quest to reach the A3 more quickly. In addition, the study should also consider the likely additional impact that cars belonging to the Eco-Town's 2,725 new households will also have on congestion in the Square and Bramshott. This study is urgent and should be completed as soon as possible and in any event within 12 months. **[CP30 & IDP]**
- d. That land is allocated, outside the SDNP, for sports and recreational facilities such as football and cricket pitches and a swimming pool. **[CP30 & IDP]**